Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/025,649	BULTER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Romain Jeanty	3623		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate common IGHTS. This application is so	n this application. If not includ unication will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS	
1. \square This communication is responsive to <u>05/30/2006</u> .				
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>2-7,9-17 and 19-27</u> .				
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:	been received. been received in Application	on No	ation from the	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file IENT of this application.	a reply complying with the re	quirements	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXA es reason(s) why the oath o	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or Nor Note: A declaration is deficient.	IOTICE OF	
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.			
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		v (PTO-948) attached		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date				
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of		
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1, each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CF	he drawings in the front (not the R 1.121(d).	e back) of	
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	ERIAL must be submitted. I DLOGICAL MATERIAL.	Note the	
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PT	O-152\	
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. 🗌 Interview St	ummary (PTO-413),	0-132)	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		Mail Date Amendment/Comment		
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. ⊠ Examiner's 9. □ Other	Statement of Reasons for Allo	owance inty	
		Romain Jeanty Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3623	U	

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 2-7, 9-17, and 19-27 are allowed.
- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest prior art is to Bond (US Patent No. 6,738,736). Bond discloses an IT framework and organization which is responsible to for management and delivery of information technology services to users. However, Bond fails to teach or suggest wherein each entity has a desired technology state referred to during the business process, the desired technology state defining where the entity is desired to be in a technological sense, and wherein the business process includes determining that acquiring the IT product goes toward a business solution that is needed for achieving the desired technology state taken in combination with a framework in connection with an organization having a plurality of entities, the framework defining a minimum of requirements that all entities within the organization must adhere to when acquiring an information technology (IT) product as recited in independent claim 9

The closest prior art is to Bond (US Patent No. 6,738,736). Bond discloses an IT framework and organization that is responsible for the management and delivery of information technology services to users. However, Bond fails to teach or suggest wherein the business process, the requirements and planning for the IT product are developed with input from technologically oriented members of the acquiring entity regarding how the IT product should be developed and how the IT product should perform, and with input from business oriented members of the acquiring entity regarding what the IT product should do and the purpose of the IT product taken in combination with a framework in connection with an organization having a

plurality of entities, the framework defining a minimum set of requirements that all entities within the organization must adhere to when acquiring an information technology (IT) product as recited in independent claim 14.

The closest prior art is to Bond (US Patent No. 6,738,736). Bond discloses an IT framework and organization that is responsible to for management and delivery of information technology services to users. However, Bond fails to teach or suggest wherein each entity has a desired technology state referred to during the business process, the desired technology state defining where the entity is desired to be in a technological sense, and wherein the business process includes determining that acquiring the IT product goes toward a business solution that is needed for achieving the desired technology state taken in combination with a business process in connection with an organization having a plurality of entities, the business process performed by each entity when acquiring IT product as recited in independent claim 19.

The closest prior art is to Bond (US Patent No. 6,738,736). Bond discloses an IT framework and organization that is responsible for the management and delivery of information technology services to users. However, Bond fails to teach or suggest wherein the business process, the requirements and planning for the IT product are developed with input from technologically oriented members of the acquiring entity regarding how the IT product should be developed and how the IT product should perform, and with input from business oriented members of the acquiring entity regarding what the IT product should do and the purpose of the IT product taken in combination with a business process in connection with an organization having a plurality of entities, the business process performed by each entity when acquiring IT product as recited in independent claim 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,649 Page 4

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a. Cassidy (A Practical Guide to Information Systems Strategic Planning system), discloses a system for identifying technical requirements for an information systems department.
- b. Martin (Analysis and Design of Business Information Systems), discloses the life cycle of an organization growth in its use of information resources.
- c. Ward et al (Strategic Planning for Information Systems), teaches an IT planning framework for delivering business strategies to users.
- d. Boar (Construction Blueprints for Enterprise IT Architectures), discloses an architecture design for extreme maneuverability.
- e. Vellante (US 20020069102 A1) discloses a method for assessing the business value of an information technology application.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (571) 272-6732. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/025,649 Page 5

Art Unit: 3623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R. Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 7, 2006